WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United	States	of America	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Apolo Angel Loya-Diaz	Case Number:	13-02157M-001
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(frepresented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	f), a detention hearing has been the evidence the defendant is	en held. Defendant was present and wa a serious flight risk and order the
FIND	DINGS OF FACT	
find by a preponderance of the evidence that:		
The defendant is not a citizen of the United	d States or lawfully admitted for	or permanent residence.
The defendant, at the time of the charged	offense, was in the United Sta	ites illegally.
If released herein, the defendant faces rem Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the j deported or otherwise removed.		
The defendant has no significant contacts	in the United States or in the	District of Arizona.
The defendant has no resources in the Unicalculated to assure his/her future appeara		might make a bond reasonably
The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but substantial family ties to Mexico.	t has no substantial ties in Aria	zona or in the United States and has
There is a record of prior failure to appear	in court as ordered.	
The defendant attempted to evade law enf	orcement contact by fleeing fr	om law enforcement.
The defendant is facing a maximum of	vears im	nrisonment

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is	to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify
Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Distri	ct Court to allow Pretria/Services an opportunity to
Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Distri interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.	11 M

DATE: October 7, 2013

United States Magistrate Judge